1	Williams, Zinman & Parham P.C.	
2	Attorneys at Law	
	7701 East Indian School Road, Suite J Scottsdale, Arizona 85251	
3	(480) 994-4732	
4	Michael A. Parham, #004853	
5	Melissa A. Parham, #025670	
5	<u>clerkofcourt@wzplegal.com</u> Attorneys for Commenting Parties Manufactured Housing	
6	Communities of Arizona and Michael A. Parham	
7		
8	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
9	In the Matter of:	Supreme Court No. R-16-0040
10	in the Matter of.	supreme court ito. It is on it
11	PETITION TO AMEND RULES 5(a),	REQUEST TO DISMISS PETITION
7	5(b)(6), 5(b)(7) AND ADD RULES 13(h)	
12	AND 20 OF THE RULES OF	
ê 13	PROCEDURE FOR EVICTION ACTIONS	
14	THE TIGHTS	
15		
16	Commenting Parties Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona ("MHCA") and	
17	Michael A. Parham (collectively, "Commenting Parties") hereby request the dismissal of the	
18	instant rule change petition (the "Petition"), pursuant to Ariz. Sup. Ct. R. 28(A)(3), as moot.	
19	I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND	
20	On July 6, 2016, the ACAJ filed the Petition R-16-0040 proposing that this Cour	
21	adopt mandatory forms and pleadings in eviction actions.	
22	On March 21, 2017, Arizona's Governor signed HB2237, which adds the following	
23	language to every set of landlord tenant laws in Arizona as well as to Arizona's forcibl	
24	antry and detainer statutes:	
25	entry and detainer statutes:	
26	Notwithstanding any other law, an agency of this state and an individual court may not adopt or enforce a rule or policy that requires a mandatory or technical form for providing notice or	

Williams, Zinman & Parham P.C.

1 for pleadings in an action for forcible entry or forcible or special detainer. The form of any notice or pleading that meets statutory 2 requirements for content and formatting of a notice or pleading is 3 sufficient to provide notice and to pursue an action for forcible entry or forcible or special detainer. 4 HB2237 prohibits the rule changes proposed in the Petition. It specifically prohibits 5 courts from *requiring* that mandatory notice and pleading forms be used in eviction actions. 6 There is little point in the consideration of a rule change that is illegal. 7 II. **CONCLUSION** 8 9 The Commenting Parties request that the Petition be dismissed pursuant to Ariz. Sup. 10 Ct. Rule 28(A)(3), which provides that the Supreme Court, after the filing of a Petition, may 11 "reject it for lack of need, merit, or substance." HB2237 renders the Petition unlawful and 12 this Petition will be mooted when HB2237 goes into effect, which will in all likelihood 13 occur before the Supreme Court is scheduled to act on it. 14 **DATED**: March 27, 201 15 WILLIAMS, ZINMAN & PARHAM P.C. 16 **Electronically Signed** 17 Michael A. Parham 18 By: Michael A. Parham 19 Melissa A. Parham 20 7701 East Indian School Rd., Suite J Scottsdale, AZ 85251 21 Attorneys for Commenting Parties Manufactured Housing 22 Communities of Arizona and Michael A. Parham 23 A copy of these comments has been e-mailed this 27th day of March, 2017 to: 24 25 The Hon. Lawrence Winthrop Commission on Access to Justice 26 spickard@courts.az.gov 27 ISI Michael A. Parham 28